



# असर्फी हॉस्पिटल

## सबके लिए स्वास्थ्य

AHL/AO/2025/798

Date: 13-03-2025

To  
BSE Limited  
P. J. Towers,  
Dalal Street,  
Mumbai- 400 001

**Sub: Intimation of Material Event-High Court Jharkhand Order Civil litigation related to Cancer Hospital Land**

**Ref: Pursuant to Regulations 30 of the SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015**

**Scrip Code:543943**

**Scrip Name: Asarfi Hospital Limited**

Dear Sir/Madam

Pursuant to Regulation 30 of the SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015, this is to inform you that the High Court Jharkhand has ruled on 07.03.2025 and vide its order no.85 Hon'ble High Court has been pleased to delete the name of Asarfi Hospital Limited from the array of parties from the on-going civil litigation bearing First Appeal Case no. 43 of 2012.

Pursuant to this order of the High Court of Jharkhand any decision in the case will not be binding on Asarfi Hospital Limited.

The judgement order of the High Court is attached as **Annexure 1**. The aforesaid information is being made available on the company's website i.e, <https://www.asarfi.in/>

This announcement is for your records and information. Thanking you

Your's faithfully

For ASARFI HOSPITAL LIMITED

UDAI PRATAP SINGH  
MANAGING DIRECTOR  
DIN: 08453794

## Asarfi Hospital Limited

Baramuri, P.O.: B Polytechnic, Dhanbad 828 130 || CIN: L85110JH2005PLC011673  
Branch Office: Khatal Road, Dhैया, ISM, Dhanbad 826004 || [www.asarfihospital.com](http://www.asarfihospital.com)  
Phone: 7808368888, 9234302735 || Email: [info@asarfihospital.com](mailto:info@asarfihospital.com)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI**

**First Appeal No. 43 of 2012**

**With**

**I.A. No. 9191 of 2024**

**With**

**I.A. No. 7704 of 2024**

**With**

**I.A. No. 8658 of 2019**

**With**

**I.A. No. 1534 of 2022**

**With**

**I.A. No. 13752 of 2024**

**With**

**I.A. No. 1772 of 2014**

**With**

**I.A. No. 4184 of 2022**

M/s. Sahara India Commercial Corporation Ltd., Sahara India and  
others ... .. **Appellants**

Versus

Uday Shankar Paul and Ors. ... .. **Respondents**

---

**CORAM :HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANUBHA RAWAT CHOUDHARY**

---

For the Appellants	: Mr. Vishal Kr. Tiwary, Advocate
	: Mr. Rishi Pallava, Advocate
For the Resp. Nos. 1 to 9	: Mr. Rahul Kumar Gupta, Advocate
	: Mr. Ayush Aditya, Advocate
For Resp. Nos. 10 to 15	: Mr. Kanishka Deo, Advocate
For the Resp. No. 19	: Mr. Shailesh Kr. Singh, Advocate
	: Mr. Saulat Daud, Advocate
	: Mr. Abhijeet Kr. Singh, Advocate
For the Resp. No. 20	: Dr. Ashok Kr. Singh, Advocate
For the Resp. No. 21	: Mr. P.K. Bhattacharya, Advocate
For the Intervener	: Mr. Rishu Ranjan, Advocate

---

**85/07.03.2025**

Learned counsel for the parties are present.

**I.A. No. 9191 of 2024**

**2.** This petition has been filed by respondent nos. 1 to 9 who are the original plaintiffs in the suit. Through this petition, they are seeking deletion of the names of original respondent nos. 20 to 23.

**3.** Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the original plaintiffs has submitted that respondent nos. 20 and 21 have already been deleted vide order dated 12.12.2024 and therefore, the respondent nos.

22 and 23 have now been renumbered as respondent no. 20 [Deputy Commissioner Bokaro -cum- Regional Director, Jharkhand Industrial Area Development Authority (JIADA)] and respondent no. 21 (M/s. Asarfi Hospital Ltd.) respectively .

4. The learned counsel for the respondent nos. 1 to 9 has further submitted that this First Appeal has been remanded by the Hon'ble Supreme Court vide order dated 26.04.2024 and the original respondent nos. 20 and 21 have already been deleted who are the vendors of 11.92 acres of land out of the suit property to M/s. Asarfi Hospital Ltd. The learned counsel submits that since the vendors of M/s. Asarfi Hospital Ltd. have been deleted by the orders of this Court and while deletion it has been recorded by this Court that a separate suit is to be filed in connection with the right, title and interest of the vendors of M/s. Asarfi Hospital Ltd., therefore, since the vendor is not party any more , the question of continuation of the purchaser i.e. M/s. Asarfi Hospital Ltd. does not arise. The learned counsel submits that since the vendor of M/s. Asarfi Hospital Ltd. has been deleted and consequently vendors will not be bound by the orders passed in this First Appeal, therefore, if M/s. Asarfi Hospital Ltd. remains in this record then any judgment passed in this case would bind the M/s. Asarfi Hospital Ltd. which may prejudice the rights and liabilities of the vendors of M/s. Asarfi Hospital Ltd. who have already been deleted.

5. Learned counsel for the respondent nos. 1 to 9 has also submitted that an affidavit has been filed in this case on 06.03.2025 and specific statement has been made in paragraphs 13 and 14 of the said affidavit that the original plaintiffs do not wish to proceed against M/s. Asarfi Hospital Ltd. in the execution case to the extent it relates to the transfer of property of 11.92 acres vide lease deed. He submits that the description of the property etc. has also been mentioned therein. Paragraphs 12 to 15 of the aforesaid affidavit dated 06.03.2025 are quoted as under:

*“12. That Plaintiff-Respondent nos.1 to 9 have already issued the notice under Section 80 of the Code of Civil Procedure to the State of Jharkhand; the Deputy Commissioner, Dhanbad; JIADA, Bokaro*

*and Asarfi Hospital Dhanbad on 18.2.2025 and very clearly stated that they would be filing another fresh suit for challenging the illegal transfer of 11.92 acres comprising of Plot No. 570, 620 and 568 of Khata No. 209 corresponding to Plot No. 603, 604 of Khata No. 66 and Plot No. 584 of Khata No. 71 within Mouza- Ranguni by the State of Jharkhand to the Asarfi Hospital Ltd. Dhanbad. The said notice dated 18.2.2025 has duly been served upon them.*

*13. That Plaintiff-Respondent nos.1 to 9 very categorically states that they shall not be executing the Judgement and Decree passed in Title Suit No. 76 of 2006 with regard to 11.92 acres comprising of Plot No. 570, 620 and 568 of Khata No. 209 corresponding to Plot No. 603, 604 of Khata No. 66 and Plot No. 584 of Khata No. 71 within Mouza- Ranguni, against the State of Jharkhand and Asarfi Hospital Dhanbad in Execution Case no. 30 of 2023 and they shall be prosecuted in an altogether new proceeding which they shall be filing before the Court of learned Civil Judge Senior Division No.I, Dhanbad.*

*14. That it is relevant to mention here that during the course of execution proceeding in Execution Case No. 30 of 2023, the learned trial court had appointed survey knowing Pleader Commissioner and he had submitted a report to the learned trial court mentioning therein that Asarfi Hospital captured an area of land 18.65 acre in 33 plots whereas the Government has allotted only 11.92 acre in 3 plots. In this view of the matter, the Respondent no. 1 to 9 reserve their right to proceed in Execution Case No. 30 of 2023 in respect of extra area (i.e 6.73 acres) which has never been allotted to Asarfi Hospital Limited.*

*15. That the State of Jharkhand and the Deputy Commissioner, Dhanbad have already been deleted from the array of the parties by order dated 12.12.2024 therefore the Asarfi Hospital Dhanbad who is also claiming through the State of Jharkhand should be deleted from the array of parties.”*

**6.** The learned counsel for the respondent nos. 1 to 9 has thereafter submitted that it would be rather in the interest of M/s. Asarfi Hospital Ltd. not to remain in the present proceedings and in the executing Court they will not be affected in any manner in view of the undertaking which has been given by the original plaintiff through the affidavit filed before this Court to the extent of aforesaid 11.92 acres of land which has been transferred to them by the State.

**7.** No objection to this petition has been filed on behalf of the Deputy Commissioner Bokaro -cum- Regional Director, Jharkhand Industrial Area Development Authority.

**8.** However, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of M/s. Asarfi Hospital Ltd. has submitted that executing Court is proceeding against M/s. Asarfi Hospital Ltd. and they have objected to the deletion of their name from this case vide rejoinder dated 20.09.2024. The learned counsel has referred to the order no. 66 dated 03.03.2022 passed in the present proceedings wherein it has been recorded that the plaintiffs had no objection to inclusion of M/s. Asarfi Hospital Ltd. as respondent no. 23.

**9.** Learned counsel for the M/s. Asarfi Hospital Ltd. has also submitted that the then respondent no. 23 (M/s. Asarfi Hospital Ltd.) has also filed an interlocutory application before this Court for remanding the matter before the learned trial Court so that they may have an opportunity to file the written statement. He submits that they have been made party to the proceedings only at the appellate stage.

**10.** After hearing learned counsels appearing on behalf of the parties, this Court finds that respondent nos. 20 to 23 were included as party at the appellate stage on account of the fact that a portion of the property i.e. 11.92 acres was transferred to M/s. Asarfi Hospital Ltd. by the State of Jharkhand and the concerned Deputy Commissioner and third-party right was created. It further appears that by another order dated 12.12.2024 this Court has deleted the State of Jharkhand and the Deputy Commissioner, Dhanbad from being party in the present proceedings by clearly observing that the orders passed in the First Appeal will not be binding on them. Now, the vendor of original respondent no. 23 has already been deleted from the cause-title of the present First Appeal. The vendor of original respondent no. 23 is not a party before this Court by observing that the judgment in this appeal will not bind the vendor. Consequently, this Court is of the view that there is no need for M/s. Asarfi Hospital Ltd. to continue in the present proceedings as any judgment which will not bind the vendor of M/s. Asarfi Hospital Ltd. can certainly not bind the M/s. Asarfi Hospital Ltd. with regard to their claim of 11.92 acres of land which has been transferred to them by lease deed .

11. This Court also finds that the original plaintiffs have also filed an affidavit before this Court, as mentioned above, stating that they will not be pursuing their execution case with respect to aforesaid 11.92 acres of land and such an undertaking before this Court is binding on them and so far as rest of the property is concerned, there is no such undertaking given by the original plaintiffs. It has also been mentioned in the aforesaid affidavit that the original plaintiffs will be filing a separate suit in connection with the aforesaid property measuring 11.92 acres.

12. In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, this Court is of the view that there is no purpose of continuation of M/s. Asarfi Hospital Ltd. as party in the present case. There is also no occasion of continuation of Deputy Commissioner Bokaro -cum- Regional Director, Jharkhand Industrial Area Development Authority as party in this case and no objection with regards to deletion of their name has been filed before this court. Accordingly, I.A. No. 9191 of 2024 is hereby allowed.

13. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to delete the Deputy Commissioner Bokaro -cum- Regional Director, Jharkhand Industrial Area Development Authority (previous respondent no. 22 and respondent no. 20 as of now) and M/s. Asarfi Hospital Ltd. (previous respondent no. 23 and respondent no. 21 as of now) from the cause-title in red ink .

**I.A. No. 7704 of 2024**

14. This interlocutory application has been filed by M/s. Asarfi Hospital Ltd. seeking remand of the matter to the learned trial Court so that they may file a written statement and contest the suit.

15. Considering the fact that M/s. Asarfi Hospital Ltd. has been deleted in view of order passed in I.A. No. 9191 of 2024, this interlocutory application has become infructuous.

16. Accordingly, I.A. No. 7704 of 2024 is dismissed.

17. It is needless to observe that if any fresh suit is instituted in connection with the property (aforesaid 11.92 acres) which has been transferred in favour of M/s. Asarfi Hospital Ltd., the parties thereto

will have their right to adduce evidence and get the suit decided in accordance with law.

**I.A. No. 8658 of 2019**

18. It has been pointed out that this interlocutory application has been filed for impleading State of Jharkhand through Deputy Commissioner Bokaro -cum- Regional Director, Jharkhand Industrial Area Development Authority as party in the present case.

19. In view of the fact that State of Jharkhand and Deputy Commissioner Bokaro -cum- Regional Director, Jharkhand Industrial Area Development Authority have already been deleted from the cause-title of this case, I.A. No. 8658 of 2019 becomes infructuous.

20. Accordingly, this interlocutory application is dismissed as infructuous.

**I.A. No. 1534 of 2022**

21. Learned counsel for the respondent nos. 1 to 9 representing the original plaintiffs has submitted that this petition was filed for initiating contempt proceedings against the original respondent nos. 20 to 22. He submits that they have already been deleted and accordingly, he does not want to press this interlocutory application. The learned counsel also submits that the plaintiffs have filed a separate suit, therefore, they are not interested in pursuing this matter.

22. Considering the submissions, I.A. No. 1534 of 2022 is dismissed as not pressed.

**I.A. No. 13752 of 2024**

23. This interlocutory application has been filed by respondent nos. 1 to 9 under Order XXXIX Rule 1 and 2 read with section 151 of Code of Civil Procedure.

24. Learned counsel for the respondent nos. 1 to 9 submits that the matter is otherwise ready for hearing and in view of the fact that the respondent nos. 1 to 9 are going to file a separate suit, therefore, they do not want to press this interlocutory application.

25. Considering the submissions, this interlocutory application is dismissed as not pressed.

**I.A. No. 1772 of 2014**

26. Mr. Rishu Ranjan, learned counsel for the intervener submits that he does not want to press this interlocutory application.

27. Accordingly, I.A. No. 1772 of 2014 is dismissed as not pressed.

**I.A. No. 4184 of 2022**

28. This interlocutory application has been filed for adducing additional evidence on behalf of the original plaintiffs who are the respondent nos. 1 to 9 before this Court.

29. A response to the said interlocutory application has already been filed.

30. This petition seeking to adduce additional evidence will be considered at the time of final hearing of this appeal.

**First Appeal No. 43 of 2012**

31. Since all the pending interlocutory applications have been disposed of except I.A. No. 4184 of 2022 which will be considered at the time of final hearing of this appeal, learned counsel for the parties have jointly submitted that the matter be fixed for final disposal on any date to be taken up at 02.15 p.m. so that the case is finally decided.

32. Post this case on 25<sup>th</sup> March 2025 under the heading “For Final Disposal”.

33. Let this order be communicated to the learned executing Court.

**(Anubha Rawat Choudhary, J.)**

*Pankaj*