



Coventry Coil-o-Matic(Haryana)Ltd.

Regd.office: 87km NH-8, Vill. Salawas, P.O. Sangwari, Distt. Rewari-123 401 (Haryana)

Ref.: CCHL/2018-2019/

13th February 2018

Scrip Code and Name: **523415/COVEN COILOM**

**THE DEPARTMENT OF CORPORATE SERVICES,
THE MUMBAI STOCK EXCHANGE,
PHIROZE JEEJEEBHOY TOWERS,
DALAL STREET,
MUMBAI – 400 001**

Dear Sir,

Please find enclosed herewith a Unaudited Financial Results as on 31st December 2018 under regulation 33 of SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015, for the quarter ended 31st December 2018, for your kind perusal and records.

Thanking you,

Yours faithfully,

For Coventry Coil-o-Matic (Haryana) Ltd.

Director

Encl. : As above

☎ (+91) 9896033299

*

Website: www.coilomatic.com

*

email: info@coilomatic.com

CIN: L74999HR1988PLC030370

J K V S & Co.
Chartered Accountants

Review Report on Quarterly and Year to date Financial Results Pursuant to the Regulation 33 of the SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulation 2015

To
Board of Directors
Coventry Coil-O-Matic (Haryana) Limited

We have reviewed the accompanying statement of unaudited financial results ("Statement") of Coventry Coil-O-Matic (Haryana) Limited ("the Company") for the quarter and nine months ended 31st December, 2018 which are prepared by the Management in accordance with the Indian Accounting Standards ('IND AS') as per Section 133 of Companies Act, 2013 read with the Companies (Indian Accounting Standard) Rules, 2015. This Statement is the responsibility of the Company's Management and has been approved by the Board of Directors. Our responsibility is to issue a report on these financial statements based on our review.

We conducted our review in accordance with the Standard on Review Engagement (SRE) 2410, Review of Interim Financial Information Performed by the Independent Auditor of the Entity issued by the Institute of Chartered Accountants of India. This standard requires that we plan and perform the review to obtain moderate assurance as to whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. A review is limited primarily to inquiries of company personnel and analytical procedures applied to financial data and thus provides less assurance than an audit. We have not performed an audit and accordingly, we do not express an audit opinion.

Based on our review conducted as above, nothing has come to our attention that causes us to believe that the accompanying statement of unaudited financial results read with notes therein prepared in accordance with applicable accounting standards as notified under the companies (Indian Accounting Standards) Rules, 2015 and other recognized accounting practices and policies has not disclosed the information required to be disclosed in terms of Regulation 33 of the SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015 as modified by Circular no. CIR/CFD/FAC/62/2016 dated July 5, 2016 including the manner in which it is to be disclosed, or that it contains any material misstatement subject to:

1. **Going Concern Assumption may no longer be appropriate-** As the Company has incurred significant operating losses, negative operating cash flow, adjudication of legal process against the company for loan liability, Notice of Recovery Officer-II of DRT-1, Delhi for settling sale proclamation for the sale of the factory property (Refer Note 2(n)) and negative net worth indicating that going concern assumption is no longer be appropriate. However, the management is continuing with the operations, therefore the accounts have been prepared on basis of going concern assumption. Consequently, adjustment for amount of assets and classification of liabilities required to be recorded has not been carried out.
2. **Note No. (2) of the statement of unaudited Financial Result for demand pronounced by DRT-I on 18-01-2016 of Rs. 8449.39 Lakhs together with Simple interest of 13.5% P.A. from 14-05-2007 which amounts to Rs.20,868.60 Lakhs as on 31st March'18 and Rs. 21,728.01 Lakhs till 31st December'18 (Gross Value before adjusting repayment through Cash and Land) related to liability of IFCI debt. Other liability of IDBI and Kotak Mahindra Bank are yet to be ordered by Courts. Liability provided in the book against these are only of Rs. 393.59 lakhs, non-provision of Rs. 21,039.75 Lakhs. The company had to give physical possession of a part of land comprising**



J K V S & Co.
Chartered Accountants

of approx. 10 acres whose approx. cost appearing in books is Rs. 12.02 Lakhs, to Alchemist Asset Reconstruction Company Ltd., assignees of IDBI & IFCI (Financial Institution) on 8th March 2013 as per the direction of Honourable Supreme Court who re-affirmed the interim orders of Honourable Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh of 9th August 2011. As informed to us, registry and other documents relating to sale of the land to third party is not available with the company, therefore necessary accounting entries and profit on transfer of land & capital gain tax could not be determined and accounted for in books of accounts.

3. Company have not worked out and provided for the Interest and penalty which will arise due to long outstanding of statutory dues and non-filing of statutory returns in time.

For J K V S & Co.,
(Formerly known as Jitendra K Agarwal & Associates)
Chartered Accountants
Firm Registration No. 318086E



A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Sajal Goyal".

Sajal Goyal
Partner

Membership No. 523903

Place: Delhi
Date: 13th February, 2019

COVENTRY COIL-O-MATIC (HARYANA) LIMITED

Regd office : Vill. Salawas, P.O. Sangwari, Distt Rewari - 123401

Email : info@coilomatic.com Ph. 9896033299

CIN. No. L74999HR1988PLC030370

Statement of Unaudited Financial Results

For the Quarter and Nine Months Ended 31st December 2018

(Rupees in Lakhs)

| S.No. | PARTICULARS | Three Months Ended | | | Nine Months Ended | | Year Ended |
|-------|---|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | | 3 | 3 | 3 | 9 | 9 | 12 |
| | No. of Months | | | | | | |
| | Period Ending | 31-Dec-2018 | 30-Sep-2018 | 31-Dec-2017 | 31-Dec-2018 | 31-Dec-2017 | 31-Mar-2018 |
| | Type | Unaudited | Unaudited | Unaudited | Unaudited | Unaudited | Audited |
| 1 | Revenue form Operations | 1,712.69 | 1,634.11 | 1,451.68 | 4,905.27 | 4,166.88 | 5,774.33 |
| 2 | Other Income | 0.52 | 10.68 | 1.21 | 15.64 | 9.87 | 18.78 |
| 3 | Total Income (1+2) | 1,713.21 | 1,644.79 | 1,452.89 | 4,920.91 | 4,176.75 | 5,793.11 |
| 4 | Expenses | | | | | | |
| a) | Cost of materials consumed | 1,164.29 | 1,100.92 | 944.92 | 3,259.90 | 2,468.25 | 3,473.44 |
| b) | Changes in inventories of finished goods, work-in-progress and Stock-in-Trade | 22.34 | (19.82) | (32.83) | (14.17) | (24.62) | (14.99) |
| c) | Employee benefits expenses | 190.26 | 184.94 | 183.88 | 552.44 | 526.65 | 701.92 |
| d) | Excise Duty | - | - | - | - | 145.12 | 145.12 |
| e) | Power & Fuel Consumed | 95.51 | 99.60 | 98.00 | 307.03 | 294.90 | 372.10 |
| f) | Finance costs | 2.98 | 2.89 | 1.54 | 8.63 | 5.89 | 8.61 |
| g) | Depreciation and amortization expenses | 8.31 | 7.02 | 7.80 | 23.61 | 22.96 | 30.72 |
| h) | Other Expenses | 274.45 | 305.82 | 280.11 | 885.75 | 830.40 | 1,182.29 |
| | Total Expenses | 1,758.12 | 1,681.38 | 1,483.42 | 5,023.19 | 4,269.55 | 5,899.21 |
| 5 | Profit before Exceptional Items & Tax (3-4) | (44.91) | (36.59) | (30.53) | (102.29) | (92.79) | (106.11) |
| | Exceptional Items | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 6 | Profit before Tax | (44.91) | (36.59) | (30.53) | (102.29) | (92.79) | (106.11) |
| 7 | Tax Expense (Net) | | | | | | |
| a) | Current Tax | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| b) | Deferred Tax | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 8 | Net Profit for the period (6-7) | (44.91) | (36.59) | (30.53) | (102.29) | (92.79) | (106.11) |
| 9 | Other Comprehensive Income (OCI) | | | | | | |
| a) | Items that will not be reclassified to Profit or loss (Net of Tax) | 0.80 | 0.78 | (1.90) | 2.40 | (5.70) | (3.29) |
| 10 | Total Comprehensive Income | (44.11) | (35.81) | (32.43) | (99.89) | (98.49) | (109.40) |
| 11 | Paid up Equity Share Capital(Face Value of the share Rs. 10/- each) | 450.80 | 450.80 | 450.80 | 450.80 | 450.80 | 450.80 |
| 12 | Earning Per Share of (before & after extraordinary items) | | | | | | |
| | Rs 10 each (not annualised) | | | | | | |
| a) | Basic (Rs) | (1.00) | (0.81) | (0.68) | (2.27) | (2.06) | (2.35) |
| b) | Diluted (Rs.) | (1.00) | (0.81) | (0.68) | (2.27) | (2.06) | (2.35) |



Note:

| | |
|----|---|
| 1 | The above results were reviewed by the Audit Committee and approved by the Board of Directors in their respective meetings held on 13th February, 2019. The Limited Review for the quarter ended 31st December, 2018, has been carried out by the Statutory Auditors, as required under Regulation 33 of SEBI (LODR) Regulation, 2015. |
| 2 | Notes to Financial Statements for the Quarter and Nine Months ended 31st December, 2018. |
| | Secured loan: |
| a. | The Company is contesting in various Courts and Tribunals the exaggerated claims by the assignees of the various Term Loans availed between 1990 and 1992 from a consortium of 3 Financial Institutions (FIs) namely ICICI, IDBI and IFCI. |
| b. | The Company availed Term Loans of Rs. 925.67 lakhs between 1990 and 1992 from the consortium, with ICICI as the lead Institution. However the total amount so received was only Rs. 894.03 lakhs and the balance was adjusted against Interest payable. Due to recession in the market and accumulated losses which were partly occasioned by high interest rates and partly by time over-run and cost over-run, and despite best efforts of the promoters and the management, the Company turned into a sick company and was referred to the Board for Industrial and Financial Reconstruction (BIFR) vide Reference Case No.197/97. |
| c. | A Rehabilitation Scheme/Package formulated and agreed upon by all the FIs was approved by the BIFR on 27-12-1999 wherein the dues were freshly determined and fixed as Rs. 1178 lakhs to be paid by 31-03-2002. |
| | The Net Worth of the Company turned positive and after considering the Balance Sheet for the year ended on 31st March 2000, the BIFR closed the reference case on 04-10-2001. |
| d. | As per the package, one of the sources of finance to repay the FIs was Working Capital facilities. Since the Company was under RBI's defaulters list, no banks were willing to extend the required working capital limits. This was duly brought to the notice of the BIFR. However, despite the best efforts, the Company could not arrange the working capital limits thereby leading to delayed repayments to the Financial Institutions. |
| e. | In all, however, over the years, the Company made a total repayment of Rs. 1286.77 lakhs out of which Rs. 1101.89 lakhs was made under/after the BIFR Scheme to the consortium members. The major portion of this was paid to the lead institution, ICICI, as part of approval for the BIFR Rehabilitation Scheme/Package. As the Company did not have the details of amounts adjusted by and amongst members of the Consortium out of the various repayments made by it and since, ICICI had filed a winding-up petition in the High Court of Punjab & Haryana at Chandigarh on 08-10-2004 for recovery of Rs. 329.93 lakhs, the Company, after October 2005, preferred not to make any further payments to the FIs since the matter was sub-judice. |
| f. | In 2005, the RBI released a Scheme / Guidelines for One-Time Settlement of loan accounts of Small and Medium Companies. Since the Company fulfilled the criteria for availing the benefits under the said Scheme, which is binding upon the Banks and FIs, the Company re-calculated the payments made under the said Scheme, and after adjusting the amounts already paid to the consortium members, the dues towards the consortium came out to be Rs. 2.62 lakhs only. |
| | The Company accordingly made an application under the OTS Scheme to the FIs before the deadline of end March 2006 and offered to pay the said amount of Rs. 2.62 lakhs. |
| g. | However, the Financial Institutions did not settle the Company's matter under RBI's OTS Guidelines and demanded unreasonably high amounts. |
| | In the meanwhile, ICICI assigned its debts to Kotak Mahindra Bank Ltd. (KMBL) on 25-04-2005, and both IFCI and IDBI assigned their debts to Dhir & Dhir Asset Reconstruction and Securitisation Company Ltd. (now known as Alchemist Assets Reconstruction Company Ltd. (AARCL)) on 05-03-2008 for Rs. 304 lakhs and 12-08-2008 for Rs. 411.50 lakhs respectively. |
| | Thereafter, the assignees filed various Applications under section 19 of The Recovery of Debts Due to Banks and Financial Institutions Act, 1993 for recovery of debts as follows: |
| | • In DRT-II, New Delhi by KMBL on 23-01-2007 for recovery of Rs. 472.07 lakhs |
| | • In DRT-I, New Delhi by AARCL on 11-12-2008 for recovery of Rs. 13370.26 lakhs for dues calculated on the IFCI debts. |
| | • In DRT-I, New Delhi by AARCL on 21-05-2012 for recovery of Rs. 9315.19 lakhs for dues calculated on the IDBI debts. |
| h. | The Company has also filed counter claims of more than Rs. 50000 lakhs on both KMBL and AARCL. |
| | The final adjudication of the debt liability is yet to be completed. |
| | AARCL (formerly D&DARSCL) also issued notice on 12-12-2008 under Section 13(2) of The Securitisation and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act (SARFAESI), 2002 demanding an amount of Rs. 14446.60 lakhs against dues calculated on both IFCI and IDBI debts. With an application filed on 29-07-2009 u/s 14 of the SARFAESI Act with Dy. Commissioner, Rewari, they made an attempt to take possession of the Company's Assets. However, with timely actions, the Company has obtained from the Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh Stay Order against any coercive action, if any, taken under SARFAESI Act for taking over the possession of the property in dispute. |



| | |
|----|---|
| i. | The Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh had through interim orders dated 21-01-2011 and 11-03-2011 directed the Company to deposit a sum of Rs. 500 lakhs in the High Court, which the Company complied with. |
| | As per further directions of the Hon'ble High Court vide order dated 09-08-2011 the sum of Rs. 500 lakhs was appropriated as follows: |
| | • Rs. 300 lakhs to Alchemist Assets Reconstruction Company Ltd., and |
| | • Rs. 200 lakhs to Kotak Mahindra Bank Ltd. |
| | Apart from the aforesaid payment of Rs. 500 lakhs the assignees were also given the liberty to recover further sum of Rs. 1350 lakhs from the sale of the surplus land appurtenant to the factory premises. This had further been re-affirmed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court with modifications vide Orders dated 07-05-2012, 30-07-2012 and 01-03-2013. As per the directions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court on 01-03-2013, the Company has given physical possession of a part of the property comprising of approx. 10 acres of land to Alchemist Assets Reconstruction Company Ltd. on 08-03-2013. The Appeals are pending adjudication before the Division Bench of Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court. |
| j. | Since this was only an Interim Order and the amount is yet to be adjudicated, no provision for differential Interest has been made by the Company, nor has any effect been given in the Fixed Assets Schedule of the Accounts. |
| | Also, in compliance to the directions given by Hon'ble Debts Recovery Appellate Tribunal, Delhi (DRAT), the Company deposited with the Debts Recovery Tribunal - I, Delhi (DRT-I) a sum of Rs. 51.81 lakhs, which was received from the District Revenue Officer-cum-Competent Authority, Rewari as land acquisition compensation for acquisition of approx. 0.69 acres of the Company's land for widening of the Delhi-Jaipur NH-8 Highway. |
| k. | Final Arguments in Debts Recovery Tribunal-1, Delhi (DRT-I) for dues calculated on the IFCI debts is complete and pronouncement of the Order was made on 18-01-2016 for recovery of Rs. 8449.39 lakhs together with simple interest @ 13.5% p.a. The Company has filed on Appeal in the Appellate Court (DART) against this order, along with an Appeal for waiver of the pre-deposit. However, both the Appeals have been dismissed by the Appellate Court on 12th October 2017 (waiver Appeal) and 30th November 2017 (Appeal against DRT-1 Order of 18-01-2016). |
| | The Company has filed a Writ Petition in the Hon'ble High Court, Delhi against the Dismissal Orders of the Appellate Court (DRAT) as the Company is of the view that the said Order is not in accordance with the law and after applying the Reserve Bank of India One-Time Settlement (RBI OTS) Guidelines and after adjusting amounts already paid, physical possession of part property given and amount deposited with the DRT, the Company is of the opinion that nothing will be due and payable by the Company to the Lenders/ Assignees. On the contrary amounts may become recoverable which claims have been filed by the Company. |
| l. | In the meanwhile, the Appeal filed by the Company before the Commissioner, Gurgaon Div. has been allowed on 10-03-2016. Court has held that the Assignment Deed on the basis of which AARCL filed the case in DRT-I is understoppel to the extent of about Rs. 500 lakhs Stamp Duty. |
| | Against this Order, Alchemist Asset Reconstruction Company Ltd. (AARC) had filed Writ Petition in the Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh who granted an interim stay on the Commissioner's Order till further arguments are heard for final decision. |
| m. | Meanwhile, Alchemist Asset Reconstruction Company Ltd. (AARC) has filed an application CMA 10/2018 in the High Court of Punjab & Haryana, Chandigarh seeking transfer of the Company Appeal before the National Company Law Tribunal, Chandigarh for initiation of Corporate Insolvency Resolution Process (CIRP) in terms of the provisions of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016. The matter is Pending. |
| n. | Pursuant to DRT-1 Judgement / Recovery Certificate dated 18-01-2016, the Recovery Officer-II of DRT-1, Delhi in February 2019 served a Notice for settling sale proclamation for the sale of the Factory Property. The Company's lawyer pointed out to the RO on 8.2.2019 that the description / area of land mentioned in the notice is wrong and also that the company also filed an application before the RO for keeping the proceedings in abeyance till the application filed by the company challenging RO's orders of attachment and sale is decided by the Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court. The matter before RO was adjourned to 25.2.2019. |
| | Meanwhile, the Company filed Application CM 39-40/2019 in LPA 1755/2010 before Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court for staying further proceedings by the Recovery Officer, DRT-1. The matter was heard at length by Division Bench of Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court on 11.2.2019 and the same has been adjourned to 20.2.2019 for further arguments. |
| 3 | The Company had given physical possession of Approx. 10 Acre land whose approx. cost appearing in books is Rs. 12.02 Lakhs, to Alchemist Asset Reconstruction Company Ltd., assignees of IDBI & IFCI (Financial Institutions) on 8th March 2013 as per the directions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court who re-affirmed the Interim Orders of Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh of 09-08-2011. Since this was only an interim order and the amount is yet to be adjudicated, no effect has been given in the Fixed Assets Schedule of the Accounts, consequent effect of profit/loss on the said land in these Accounts. |
| 4 | Contingent liability not provided for claims under adjudication - |
| | in DRT-II, New Delhi by KMBL for recovery of Rs. 472.07 lakhs and |
| | in DRT-I, New Delhi by AARCL for recovery of the dues calculated on the IDBI Debts Rs. 9315.19 lakhs |
| | (The Company has also filed counter claims of more than Rs. 50000 lakhs on both KMBL and AARCL.) |
| 5 | The Company has incurred significant operating losses, negative operating cash flow, adjudication of legal process against the company for loan liability and negative net worth. However the management is continuing with the operations, therefore the accounts have been prepared on basis of going concern assumption. Consequently, adjustment for amount of assets and classification of liabilities required to be recorded has not been carried out. |



[Handwritten Signature]

| | |
|---|---|
| 6 | There being virtual uncertainties of taxable income in subsequent years, hence deferred tax assets have not been created in these accounts. |
| 7 | The business activity of the Company falls within a single primary business segment viz 'Spring Manufacturing' hence there is no other reportable segment as per Ind AS 108 'Operating Segments'. |
| 8 | After applicability of Goods and Service Tax (GST) w.e.f. July 1, 2017, sales are required to be disclosed net of GST. Accordingly, the figures of revenue from operations for the nine months ended 31st December, 2018 are not comparable with the previous corresponding period. |
| 9 | The figure for the previous periods have been regrouped/ rearranged, wherever considered necessary, to conform current period classifications. The figures for the quarter ended 31st December, 2018 are the balancing figures between figures for the 1st & 2nd quarter published and year to date figures for the nine months ended 31st December, 2018 |

for and on behalf of the Board of Directors

Place: Rewari (Haryana)

Date: 13-Feb-19




 R.M. Bafna
 Managing Director
 DIN NO. 00159855