

Corp. Off.:- 201, "The Summit Business Bay" Behind Guru Nanak Petrol Pump, Off Andheri Kurla Road, Andheri (E), Mumbai - 400 093 (India) Ph. : +91 22 69073100

18th November, 2023

BSE Limited Phiroze Jeejeebhoy Towers Dalal Street Mumbai-400001 Stock Symbol-533543 National Stock Exchange of India Ltd. Exchange Plaza, C-1, Block G Bandra Kurla Complex Bandra (E), Mumbai-400051 Scrip Code- BROOKS

Dear Sir/Madam,

<u>Sub: Disclosure under Regulation 30 of the SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements)</u> <u>Regulations, 2015</u>

This refers to the requirement under proviso to Regulation 30 of SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirement) Regulations, 2015. We are enclosing herewith details of current status of individual litigations of the Company.

Thanking You, Yours faithfully For BROOKS LABORATORIES LIMITED

Lai Vaidya Company Secretary & Compliance Officer Encl: As above

Name of the opposing party	Court/ Tribunal/ Agency where litigation is filed	Brief details of the dispute/ litigation	Assessment Year (AY)	Expected financial implications, if any, due to compensation, penalty etc*	Quantum of claims, if any#
Income tax Department	Income Tax Appellate Tribunal,Chandigarh	Brooks Laboratories Limited filed appeals with the ITAT against parly disallowed order passed by CIT A PANCHKULA Dated 14/08/2023.	AY 2012-13	The expected financial implication cannot be determined at this stage as the matter is currently pending before authority.	The quantum of claim will be dependent upon the outcome of the litigatior As on date.
Income tax Department	CIT A PANCHKULA	Brooks Laboratories Limited filed appeals with the CIT A PANCHKULA against the order passed by ACIT PKL CIRCLE PANCHKULA Under section 143(3) dated 26/02/2016.	AY 2013-14	NIL Rs.339.50 Lakhs is disclosed as contingent Liability in the Financial Statements till 31st March 2023.	The quantum of claim will be dependent upon the outcome of the litigation As on date.
Income tax Department	Income Tax Appellate Tribunal,Chandigarh	Brooks Laboratories Limited filed appeals with the ITAT against the partly disallowed order passed by CIT A PANCHKULA Dated 06/07/2023.	AY 2014-15	NIL Rs.142.85 Lakhs is disclosed as contingent Liability in the Financial Statements till 31st March 2023.	The quantum of claim will be dependent upon the outcome of the litigatior As on date.
Income tax Department	CIT A PANCHKULA	Brooks Laboratories Limited filed appeals with the CIT A PANCHKULA against the order passed by ACIT PKL CIRCLE PANCHKULA Under section 143(3) dated 10/11/2017.	AY 2015-16	NIL Rs.0.68 Lakhs is disclosed as contingent Liability in the Financial Statements till 31st March 2023.	The quantum of claim will be dependent upon the outcome of the litigation As on date.
Income tax Department	Income Tax Appellate Tribunal,Chandigarh	Brooks Laboratories Limited filed appeals with the ITAT against the partly disallowed order passed by CIT A PANCHKULA Dated 14/08/2023.	AY 2016-17	NIL Rs.73.45 Lakhs is disclosed as contingent Liability in the Financial Statements till 31st March 2023.	The quantum of claim will be dependent upon the outcome of the litigatior As on date.
The Drug Inspector, Food and Administration vide State of Maharashtra	Additional Chief Judicial magistrate Nagpur Court	under section 32 read with 18(a)(i) read with section 16 and 34, punishable under section 27(d) of the Drugs and Cosmetics Act, 1940 for manufacturing and selling GoClav 625 Tablets manufactured in the month of March 2015 allegedly 'of not standard quality' for the reasons that "The total Viable Count of Lactic Acid Bacillus is Less (18.33 % of the labelled amount) than the permissible limit		The expected financial implication cannot be determined at this stage as the matter is currently pending before the court.	The quantum of claim will be dependent upon the outcome of the litigation. As on date.
	Income tax Department The Drug Inspector, Food and Administration vide	where litigation is filedIncome tax DepartmentIncome Tax Appellate Tribunal,ChandigarhIncome tax DepartmentCIT A PANCHKULAIncome tax DepartmentIncome Tax Appellate Tribunal,ChandigarhIncome tax DepartmentIncome Tax Appellate Tribunal,ChandigarhIncome tax DepartmentCIT A PANCHKULAIncome tax DepartmentCIT A PANCHKULAIncome tax DepartmentCIT A PANCHKULAIncome tax DepartmentIncome Tax Appellate Tribunal,ChandigarhIncome tax DepartmentIncome Tax Appellate Tribunal,ChandigarhThe Drug Inspector, Food and Administration videAdditional Chief Judicial magistrate Nagpur Court	where litigation is filedBrooks Laboratories Limited filed appeals with the ITAT against party disallowed order passed by CIT A PANCHKULA Dated 14/08/2023.Income tax DepartmentCIT A PANCHKULABrooks Laboratories Limited filed appeals with the CIT A PANCHKULA Dated 14/08/2023.Income tax DepartmentCIT A PANCHKULABrooks Laboratories Limited filed appeals with the CIT A PANCHKULA against the order passed by ACIT PKL CIRCLE PANCHKULA Under section 143(3) dated 26/02/2016.Income tax DepartmentIncome Tax Appellate Tribunal,ChandigarhBrooks Laboratories Limited filed appeals with the ITAT against the partly disallowed order passed by CIT A PANCHKULA Dated 06/07/2023.Income tax DepartmentCIT A PANCHKULABrooks Laboratories Limited filed appeals with the CIT A PANCHKULA Dated 06/07/2023.Income tax DepartmentCIT A PANCHKULABrooks Laboratories Limited filed appeals with the CIT A PANCHKULA Dated 06/07/2023.Income tax DepartmentIncome Tax Appellate Tribunal,ChandigarhBrooks Laboratories Limited filed appeals with the CIT A PANCHKULA Under section 143(3) dated 10/11/2017.Income tax DepartmentIncome Tax Appellate Tribunal,ChandigarhBrooks Laboratories Limited filed appeals with the ITAT against the partly disallowed order passed by CIT A PANCHKULA Dated 14/08/2023.The Drug Inspector, Food and Administration vide State of MaharashtraAdditional Chief Judicial magistrate Nagpur Courtunder section 32 read with 18(a)(i) read with section 16 and 34, punishable under section 72(d) of the Drugs and Cosmetics Act, 1940 for nust andrard quality for the reasons that "The total Viable Count of Lactic Acid Bacillus is Less (18.33 % of the	where litigation is filedYear (AY)Income tax DepartmentIncome Tax Appellate Tribunal,ChandigarhBrooks Laboratories Limited filed appeals with the ITAT against parly disallowed order passed by CIT A PANCHKULA Dated 14/08/2023.AY 2012-13Income tax DepartmentCIT A PANCHKULA CIT A PANCHKULABrooks Laboratories Limited filed appeals with the CIT A PANCHKULA against the order passed by ACIT PKL CIRCLE PANCHKULA dated 14/08/2023.AY 2013-14Income tax DepartmentIncome Tax Appellate Tribunal,ChandigarhBrooks Laboratories Limited filed appeals with the ITAT against the partly disallowed order passed by CIT A PANCHKULA Dated 06/07/2023.AY 2014-15Income tax DepartmentIncome Tax Appellate Tribunal,ChandigarhBrooks Laboratories Limited filed appeals with the ITAT against the partly disallowed order passed by CIT A PANCHKULA Dated 06/07/2023.AY 2014-15Income tax DepartmentCIT A PANCHKULABrooks Laboratories Limited filed appeals with the CIT A PANCHKULA against the order passed by CIT PKL CIRCLE PANCHKULA against the order passed by ACIT PKL CIRCLE PANCHKULA Under section 143(3) dated 10/11/2017.AY 2016-17Income tax DepartmentIncome Tax Appellate Tribunal,ChandigarhBrooks Laboratories Limited filed appeals with the ITAT against the partly disallowed order passed by CIT A PANCHKULA Dated 14/08/2023.AY 2016-17The Drug Inspector, Food and Administration vide State of MaharashtraAdditional Chief Judicial magistrate Nagpur Court Cosmetics Act, 1940 for manufacturing and selling GoClav 625 Tablets manufactured in the month of March 2015 allegedly of not standard quality for the reasons (LB 33 % of the tabelled damoun	where litigation is filed Income tax Department Income Tax Appellate tribunal,Chandigarh Brooks Laboratories Limited filed appeals with the ITAT against party disallowed order passed by CIT A PANCHKULA Dated 14/08/2023. AV 2012-13 The expected financial implication cannot be determined at this stage as the matter is currently pending before authority. Income tax Department CIT A PANCHKULA Brooks Laboratories Limited filed appeals with the ITAT PANCHKULA against the order passed by ACIT PKL CIRCLE PANCHKULA under section 143(3) dated 26/02/2016. AV 2013-14 NIL Income tax Department Income Tax Appellate Tribunal,Chandigarh Brooks Laboratories Limited filed appeals with the ITAT against the partly disallowed order passed by CIT A PANCHKULA Dated 06/07/2023. AV 2014-15 NIL Income tax Department Income Tax Appellate Tribunal,Chandigarh Brooks Laboratories Limited filed appeals with the ITAT against the partly disallowed order passed by CIT A PANCHKULA Dated 06/07/2023. AV 2014-15 NIL Income tax Department Income Tax Appellate Tribunal,Chandigarh Brooks Laboratories Limited filed appeals with the ITAT against the partly disallowed order passed by CIT PKL CIRCLE PANCHKULA Dated 06/07/2023. AV 2015-16 NIL Income tax Department Income Tax Appellate Tribunal,Chandigarh Brooks Laboratories Limited filed appeals with the ITAT against the partly disallowed order passed by CIT PKL CIRCLE PANCHKULA Dated 14/08/2023. AV 2015-16

100

201, The Summit Business Bay, Mumbal - 93

*

The Drug Inspector, Food	Haridwar Chief Judicial	under section 32 read with 18(a)(i) read with section 16	NA	The expected financial implication	The quantum of claim will be
and Administration vide	magistrate	and 17(A), punishable under section 27(b)(i) and 27(d) of		cannot be determined at this stage	dependent upon the outcome of
Union of India		the Drugs and Cosmetics Act, 1940 for selling Polytaz		as the matter is currently pending	the litigation. As on date.
		1.125 (Certriaxone & Tazobactum (for injection)		before the court.	
		manufactured in the month of July 2013 allegedly 'of not			
		standard quality' for the reasons that the samples do not			
		confirms to claim as per IP 2010 in respect to the			
		"Particulate matter & Clarity of the solution".			
The Drug Inspector, Food	Hon'ble Chief Judicial	under section 32 read with 18(a)(i) read with section	NA	The expected financial implication	The quantum of claim will be
and Administration, MS	magistrate, Thane	16(1)(a) and 34, punishable under section 27(d) of the		cannot be determined at this stage	dependent upon the outcome of
Thane vide Union of India		Drugs and Cosmetics Act, 1940 for manufacturing and		as the matter is currently pending	the litigation. As on date.
		selling FORTICLAV DRY SYRUP (Amoxycillin and		before the court.	
		Potassium Clavulanate Oral Suspension IP: Batch No. FDS-			
		8065, Manufacturing date June 1, 2008 Expiry Date			
	-	November 30, 2009) allegedly 'of not standard quality'			
		for the reasons that the samples do not confirms to			
		claim as per IP 2007	1		

201, The Summit The Business Bay, Mumbai - 93 Vai.