

CORPORATE OFFICE:

2nd Floor, A Wing, Fortune Avirahi, Jambli Galli
Jain Derasar Road, Borivali (W), Mumbai - 400 092.

+91-22-5070 5050 / 2898 2133 / 7912 / 7914

compliance@heranba.com

www.heranba.co.in

CIN No.L24231GJ1992PLC017315



HERANBA[®]
INDUSTRIES LIMITED

Date: **October 05, 2023**

BSE Limited, Phiroze Jeejeebhoy Towers, Dalal Street, Mumbai -400001, Scrip Code: 543266	National Stock Exchange of India Limited Exchange Plaza, 5th Floor, Plot no. C/l, G Block, Bandra - Kurla Complex, Bandra (E), Mumbai - 400 051. Symbol: HERANBA
--	---

Dear Sir/Madam,

Sub: Intimation of Details of Pending Material Litigations / Disputes as required under Regulation 30 of the SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015

In terms of Regulation 30 of the Securities and Exchange Board of India (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015, as amended, read with Schedule III there under read with SEBI Circular No. SEBI/HO/CFD/CFD-PoD-1/P/CIR/2023/123 dated July 13, 2023, the details of pending material litigations / disputes are attached herewith as **Annexure- A**.

We request you to kindly take the above information on record.

Thanking you,

Yours Faithfully,
For **Heranba Industries Limited**

Abdul Latif
Company Secretary & Compliance Officer
Membership No: A17009
Encl: a/a

Annexure A

Disclosures as required under Regulation 30 of the SEBI (Listing Obligation and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015

Case 1:

Sr. No.	Particulars	Details
a)	Brief Details of Litigation	Consumer Matter
	Name of the Company	Heranba Industries Limited & Ors.
	Name(s) of the opposing party	Mr. Balwan Singh
	Court/ Tribunal / Agency where litigation is filed	District Consumer Redressal Commission, Jind, Haryana
	Brief details of dispute / litigation	Damage Caused to Cotton Crop due to use of products as used on the Cotton Crop. The product of ours alleged in the complaint "ROXY" and "JEEVAN" will not cause any damage to cotton crop. The damaged to Cotton Crop is occurred due to the wrong mixing of different types of products of different companies.
b)	Expected financial implications, if any, due to compensation, penalty, etc.	Nil
c)	Quantum of claims, if any	Rs.5,00,000.00

Case 2:

Sr. No.	Particulars	Details
a)	Brief Details of Litigation	Consumer Matter
	Name of the Company	Heranba Industries Limited & Ors.
	Name(s) of the opposing party	Mr. Chhotu Ram
	Court/ Tribunal / Agency where litigation is filed	District Consumer Redressal Commission, Hissar, Haryana
	Brief details of dispute / litigation	The Jowar Crop is damaged due to use of "CYPRA PLUS" which is not recommended product for Jowar Crop. The Recommended product is "EAGLE".
b)	Expected financial implications, if any, due to compensation, penalty, etc.	Nil
c)	Quantum of claims, if any	Rs.10,00,000.00

Case 3:

Sr. No.	Particulars	Details
a)	Brief Details of Litigation	Consumer Matter
	Name of the Company	Heranba Industries Limited & Ors.
	Name(s) of the opposing party	Mr. Chandrika Prasad Trivedi
	Court/ Tribunal / Agency where litigation is filed	District Consumer Redressal Commission, Allahabad, U.P
	Brief details of dispute / litigation	The Complaint is regarding the damage to the Crop of Pumpkin due to use of our product JEEBRA. But this product is Plant Growth Regulator will not cause to

		damage any of the crop.
b)	Expected financial implications, if any, due to compensation, penalty, etc.	Nil
c)	Quantum of claims, if any	Rs.3,00,000.00

Case 4:

Sr. No.	Particulars	Details
a)	Brief Details of Litigation	Misbranding Matter
	Name of the Company	Heranba Industries Limited & Ors.
	Name(s) of the opposing party	Agriculture Officer
	Court/ Tribunal / Agency where litigation is filed	J.M.F.C , Pachora Court, Dist. Jalgaon, Maharashtra
	Brief details of dispute / litigation	Dichlorvovous 76 % EC is misbranded as the active ingredient contents did not conform to the standard specifications in the Active Ingredient test requirement.
b)	Expected financial implications, if any, due to compensation, penalty, etc.	Nil
c)	Quantum of claims, if any	Not Ascertainable

Case 5:

Sr. No.	Particulars	Details
a)	Brief Details of Litigation	Misbranding Matter
	Name of the Company	Heranba Industries Limited & Ors.
	Name(s) of the opposing party	Mr. Harinder Singh, Insecticide Inspector
	Court/ Tribunal / Agency where litigation is filed	CJM , Ludhiana, Punjab
	Brief details of dispute / litigation	Acephate 75% SP is misbranded as the active ingredient contents did not conform to the standard specifications in the Active Ingredient test requirement.
b)	Expected financial implications, if any, due to compensation, penalty, etc.	Nil
c)	Quantum of claims, if any	Not Ascertainable

Case 6:

Sr. No.	Particulars	Details
a)	Brief Details of Litigation	Misbranding Matter
	Name of the Company	Heranba Industries Limited
	Name(s) of the opposing party	Agriculture Officer Ludhiana, Punjab
	Court/ Tribunal / Agency where litigation is filed	CJM , Ludhiana
	Brief details of dispute / litigation	Chlophriphos 20% EC is misbranded as the active ingredient contents did not

		conform to the standard specifications in the Active Ingredient test requirement.
b)	Expected financial implications, if any, due to compensation, penalty, etc.	Nil
c)	Quantum of claims, if any	Not Ascertainable

Case 7:

Sr. No.	Particulars	Details
a)	Brief Details of Litigation	Misbranding Matter
	Name of the Company	Heranba Industries Limited
	Name(s) of the opposing party	Mr. Manoj Kumar, Insecticide Inspector, Sardulgarh, Punjab
	Court/ Tribunal / Agency where litigation is filed	JMFC, Sardulgarh
	Brief details of dispute / litigation	Hydrochloride 4% GRis misbranded as the active ingredient contents did not conform to the standard specifications in the Active Ingredient test requirement.
b)	Expected financial implications, if any, due to compensation, penalty, etc.	Nil
c)	Quantum of claims, if any	Not Ascertainable

Case 8:

Sr. No.	Particulars	Details
a)	Brief Details of Litigation	Misbranding Matter
	Name of the Company	Heranba Industries Limited
	Name(s) of the opposing party	Insecticide Inspector, Sunam, Punjab
	Court/ Tribunal / Agency where litigation is filed	JMFC, Sardulgarh
	Brief details of dispute / litigation	Sulfosulfuron 75% WG is misbranded as the active ingredient contents did not conform to the standard specifications in the Active Ingredient test requirement.
b)	Expected financial implications, if any, due to compensation, penalty, etc.	Nil
c)	Quantum of claims, if any	Not Ascertainable

Case 9:

Sr. No.	Particulars	Details
a)	Brief Details of Litigation	Misbranding Matter
	Name of the Company	Heranba Industries Limited
	Name(s) of the opposing party	Insecticide Inspector
	Court/ Tribunal / Agency where litigation is filed	CJM, Bathinda, Punjab
	Brief details of dispute / litigation	Acetamiprid 20% SP is misbranded as

		the active ingredient contents did not conform to the standard specifications in the Active Ingredient test requirement.
b)	Expected financial implications, if any, due to compensation, penalty, etc.	Nil
c)	Quantum of claims, if any	Not Ascertainable

Case 10:

Sr. No.	Particulars	Details
a)	Brief Details of Litigation	Misbranding Matter
	Name of the Company	Heranba Industries Limited & Ors.
	Name(s) of the opposing party	Pesticides Inspector, Hassan, Karnataka
	Court/ Tribunal / Agency where litigation is filed	JMFC, Hassan, Karnataka
	Brief details of dispute / litigation	Lamda Cyhalothrin 5% EC is misbranded as the active ingredient contents did not conform to the standard specifications in the Active Ingredient test requirement.
b)	Expected financial implications, if any, due to compensation, penalty, etc.	Nil
c)	Quantum of claims, if any	Not Ascertainable

Case 11:

Sr. No.	Particulars	Details
a)	Brief Details of Litigation	U/s 156 (3), FIR Filed in Police Station
	Name of the Company	Heranba Industries Limited & Ors.
	Name(s) of the opposing party	M/s Spectrum Ethers Pvt. Ltd. through Mr. Upen Santan Saran
	Court/ Tribunal / Agency where litigation is filed	Dindori Court, Dindori, Nashik, Maharashtra
	Brief details of dispute / litigation	U/s 156 (3), FIR Filed in Police Station by the competitor M/s Spectrum Ethers Pvt. Ltd., pertaining to the product "Profenophos". We have sold this product in Brand in the large scale in the market and made our brand by taking the market share of the Competitors from the Market. It is merely an allegation case which has not proved by the complainant before the court of law.
b)	Expected financial implications, if any, due to compensation, penalty, etc.	Nil
c)	Quantum of claims, if any	Not Ascertainable

Case 12:

Sr. No.	Particulars	Details
a)	Brief Details of Litigation	U/s 156 (3), FIR Filed in Police Station
	Name of the Company	Heranba Industries Limited
	Name(s) of the opposing party	M/s Shri Ram Agro India
	Court/ Tribunal / Agency where litigation is filed	JMFC , Karnal, Haryana
	Brief details of dispute / litigation	U/s 156 (3), FIR Filed in Police Station pertaining to the matter filed by Heranba Industries Limited against the firm under section 138 NI Act before Borivali MM Court, Mumbai. The FIR is only a pressure tactics against the matter filed by us for dishonor of cheque by the party. The firm and the proprietor accused is convicted in the matter.
b)	Expected financial implications, if any, due to compensation, penalty, etc.	Nil
c)	Quantum of claims, if any	Not Ascertainable

Case 13:

Sr. No.	Particulars	Details
a)	Brief Details of Litigation	U/s 156 (3), FIR Filed in Police Station
	Name of the Company	Heranba Industries Limited
	Name(s) of the opposing party	M/s Kishan Ram Mohan Lal
	Court/ Tribunal / Agency where litigation is filed	Deoli, Tonk, Rajasthan
	Brief details of dispute / litigation	U/s 156 (3), FIR Filed in Police Station pertaining to the matter filed by Heranba Industries Limited against the firm under section 138 NI Act before Borivali MM Court. The FIR is only a pressure tactics against the matter filed by us for dishonor of cheque by the firm M/s Kishan Ram Mohan Lal. The matter is in proceeding u/s 138 NI Act, before the Borivali MM Court, Mumbai.
b)	Expected financial implications, if any, due to compensation, penalty, etc.	Nil
c)	Quantum of claims, if any	Not Ascertainable

Case 14:

Sr. No.	Particulars	Details
a)	Brief Details of Litigation	U/s 156 (3), FIR Filed in Police Station
	Name of the Company	Heranba Industries Limited
	Name(s) of the opposing party	M/s K.P.R Agrochem Limited , Rajasekar Reddy Kovvur
	Court/ Tribunal / Agency where	Bhagyanagar Police Station

	litigation is filed	
	Brief details of dispute / litigation	U/s 156 (3), FIR Filed in Police Station pertaining to the matter filed by Heranba Industries Limited against the firm under section 138 NI Act before Borivali MM Court. The FIR is only a pressure tactics against the matter filed by us for dishonor of cheque by the Company M/s KPR Agrochem Ltd. The matter is in proceeding u/s 138 NI Act, before the Borivali MM Court, Mumbai.
b)	Expected financial implications, if any, due to compensation, penalty, etc.	Nil
c)	Quantum of claims, if any	Not Ascertainable

Case 15:

Sr. No.	Particulars	Details
a)	Brief Details of Litigation	Labour Matter
	Name of the Company	Heranba Industries Limited
	Name(s) of the opposing party	Mr. E. Mallesh
	Court/ Tribunal / Agency where litigation is filed	Presiding Officer, Labour Court Hyderabad
	Brief details of dispute / litigation	The Employee is terminated from the services of the company on disciplinary ground. The matter is filed by the employee for the services to be Re-instated in the company and the compensation thereof from the company. The matter is in the proceedings before the Court.
b)	Expected financial implications, if any, due to compensation, penalty, etc.	Nil
c)	Quantum of claims, if any	Not Ascertainable

Case 16:

Sr. No.	Particulars	Details
a)	Brief Details of Litigation	Labour Matter
	Name of the Company	Heranba Industries Limited
	Name(s) of the opposing party	Mr. Harcharan Singh
	Court/ Tribunal / Agency where litigation is filed	Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bathinda, Punjab
	Brief details of dispute / litigation	The Employee is terminated from the services of the company on disciplinary ground. The matter is filed by the employee for the services to be Re-instated in the company and the compensation thereof from the company. The matter is in the proceedings before the Court.

b)	Expected financial implications, if any, due to compensation, penalty, etc.	Nil
c)	Quantum of claims, if any	Not Ascertainable

Case 17:

Sr. No.	Particulars	Details
a)	Brief Details of Litigation	Labour Matter
	Name of the Company	Heranba Industries Limited
	Name(s) of the opposing party	Mr. Krishna Saroj
	Court/ Tribunal / Agency where litigation is filed	Bandra
	Brief details of dispute / litigation	The Employee is terminated from the services of the company on disciplinary ground. The matter is filed by the employee for the services to be Re-instated in the company and the compensation thereof from the company. The matter is in the proceedings before the Court.
b)	Expected financial implications, if any, due to compensation, penalty, etc.	Nil
c)	Quantum of claims, if any	Not Ascertainable

Case 18:

Sr. No.	Particulars	Details
a)	Brief Details of Litigation	Labour Matter
	Name of the Company	Heranba Industries Limited
	Name(s) of the opposing party	Mr. Sunilkumar Tribhuvan Mehta
	Court/ Tribunal / Agency where litigation is filed	Valsad Labour Court, No.32/2023
	Brief details of dispute / litigation	The Employee is terminated under the matter of theft from the factory for which the FIR has been registered before the Police Station in Vapi. The matter was under proceedings. The Employee thereafter has gone to the Labour Court at Valsad for services to be Re-instated in the Company and the compensation thereof.
b)	Expected financial implications, if any, due to compensation, penalty, etc.	Nil
c)	Quantum of claims, if any	Not Ascertainable

Case 19:

Sr. No.	Particulars	Details
a)	Brief Details of Litigation	Recovery Matter
	Name of the Company	Heranba Industries Limited
	Name(s) of the opposing party	M/s Hindustan Insecticide Limited

	Court/ Tribunal / Agency where litigation is filed	Arbitration Matter, Award
	Brief details of dispute / litigation	Security Deposit held of Rs.10.00 lac in the year 2003 from the Party and counter claim filed by us for the damages caused due to wrong commitment in the matter of the Tender Process of RITES. The Arbitrator has given Award in favour of Hindustan Insecticides Limited for refunding the security deposit held by Heranba Industries Limited of Rs.10.00 lac with interest @ 12 % p.a. without considering the counter claim filed by Heranba Industries Limited for the damages caused during the Tender Process of RITES and Cancellation of the BID. The matter was in proceedings since then.
b)	Expected financial implications, if any, due to compensation, penalty, etc.	Nil
c)	Quantum of claims, if any	42,00,000/-

Case 20:

Sr. No.	Particulars	Details
a)	Brief Details of Litigation	Recovery Matter
	Name of the Company	Heranba Industries Limited&Ors.
	Name(s) of the opposing party	M/s Sujay Chemicals
	Court/ Tribunal / Agency where litigation is filed	ValsadCourt, Gujarat
	Brief details of dispute / litigation	The Dispute is of Supply and Purchase of Bromine. The Company is claiming the amount of Rs.2,44,21,650/- which Heranba Industries Limited has to take the amount against the material i.e. Bromine given to M/s Sujay Chemicals for processing in their plant. The dispute is only against the wrongly issuance of the balance confirmation by the Auditor which was recalled. The matter is not a dispute but created dispute to get absolve from the liability by M/s Sujay Chemicals.
b)	Expected financial implications, if any, due to compensation, penalty, etc.	Nil
c)	Quantum of claims, if any	2,44,21,650.74

Case 21:

Sr. No.	Particulars	Details
a)	Brief Details of Litigation	Recovery Matter
	Name of the Company	Heranba Industries Limited
	Name(s) of the opposing party	M/s Nitesh Fabricators
	Court/ Tribunal / Agency where litigation is filed	Valsad Court
	Brief details of dispute / litigation	Nitesh Fabricator is the contractor and during the period of contract one of the contractor employee have died by falling the top floor of the factory for which the Heranba Industries Limited being the Principal has to compensate the deceased family an amount of Rs.6.61,086/- before the Labour Court. The Contractor have not taken the insurance of the workers. The Company have hold the payment of the Contractor of Rs.14,25,995/-. The Company is ready to settle the balance amount of Rs.8,24,872/- , which dispute is pending before the Court.
b)	Expected financial implications, if any, due to compensation, penalty, etc.	Nil
c)	Quantum of claims, if any	Rs.14,24,995/-

Case 22:

Sr. No.	Particulars	Details
a)	Brief Details of Litigation	Patent Violation Matter
	Name of the Company	Heranba Industries Limited
	Name(s) of the opposing party	M/s GSP Crop Pvt. Ltd
	Court/ Tribunal / Agency where litigation is filed	Delhi High Court
	Brief details of dispute / litigation	The matter is pertaining to the violation of Patent of the brand ROXYFIN. The product is manufactured by BR Agrotech Limited and marketed by Heranba Industries Limited. In the matter GSP Crop Pvt. Limited have settled the Patent Violation issue with BR Agrotech Limited &Ors in the Court though MOS. The GSP Crop Pvt. Ltd. is pursuing only the matter against Heranba Industries Limited, being a Marketer of the Product. The Company is defending the matter on the Technical Ground before the Court.
b)	Expected financial implications, if any,	Nil

	due to compensation, penalty, etc.	
c)	Quantum of claims, if any	Not Ascertainable

Case 23:

Sr. No.	Particulars	Details
a)	Brief Details of Litigation	Corporate Fraud Registry (CFR) matter
	Name of the Company	Heranba Industries Limited
	Name(s) of the opposing party	Reserve Bank of India
	Court/ Tribunal / Agency where litigation is filed	Bombay High Court
	Brief details of dispute / litigation	We have filed a matter U/s 138 NI Act, against M/s Sri Guru Kripa Agro& its proprietor Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, Abohar, Punjab for the dishonouring of Cheque of Rs.2,66,528/- in Borivali MM Court, Mumbai. The party to get rid of the liability and proceedings lodged a false complaint in the bank and to our surprise have been registered in the Reserve Bank of India Portal of CFR. We have filed a writ petition against Reserve Bank of India &Ors before Bombay High Court.
b)	Expected financial implications, if any, due to compensation, penalty, etc.	Nil
c)	Quantum of claims, if any	Not Ascertainable

Case 24:

Sr. No.	Particulars	Details
a)	Brief Details of Litigation	Gujarat Pollution Control Board
	Name of the Company	Heranba Industries Limited
	Name(s) of the opposing party	Gujarat Pollution Control Board
	Court/ Tribunal / Agency where litigation is filed	ACJM, Vapi, Gujarat ,C.C.No. 1619/2019
	Brief details of dispute / litigation	The Complaint is filed against the Company by the Pollution Control Board pertaining to the Pollution of Hazardous Waste Effluent Discharge. The Matter is in proceeding before the Court at Vapi.
b)	Expected financial implications, if any, due to compensation, penalty, etc.	Nil
c)	Quantum of claims, if any	Not Ascertainable

Case 25:

Sr. No.	Particulars	Details
a)	Brief Details of Litigation	Gujarat Pollution Control Board
	Name of the Company	Heranba Industries Limited
	Name(s) of the opposing party	Gujarat Pollution Control Board
	Court/ Tribunal / Agency where litigation is filed	ACJM, Vapi, Gujarat ,C.C.No. 1620/2019
	Brief details of dispute / litigation	The Complaint is filed against the Company by the Pollution Control Board pertaining to the Pollution of Hazardous Waste Effluent Discharge. The Matter is in proceeding before the Court at Vapi.
b)	Expected financial implications, if any, due to compensation, penalty, etc.	Nil
c)	Quantum of claims, if any	Not Ascertainable

Case 26:

Sr. No.	Particulars	Details
a)	Brief Details of Litigation	Gujarat Pollution Control Board
	Name of the Company	Heranba Industries Limited
	Name(s) of the opposing party	Gujarat Pollution Control Board
	Court/ Tribunal / Agency where litigation is filed	ACJM, Vapi, Gujarat ,C.C.No. 1669/2019
	Brief details of dispute / litigation	The Complaint is filed against the Company by the Pollution Control Board pertaining to the Pollution of Hazardous Waste Effluent Discharge. The Matter is in proceeding before the Court at Vapi.
b)	Expected financial implications, if any, due to compensation, penalty, etc.	Nil
c)	Quantum of claims, if any	Not Ascertainable

Case 27:

Sr. No.	Particulars	Details
a)	Brief Details of Litigation	Environment Matter
	Name of the Company	Heranba Industries Limited
	Name(s) of the opposing party	Forest Officer, Jambughoda
	Court/ Tribunal / Agency where litigation is filed	JMFC Jambughoda Court, District Panchmahal, Gujarat C.C. No. 252 of 2019
	Brief details of dispute / litigation	The Complaint has been filed by the Forests Officer pertaining to the Chemical Waste Garbage found in the forest area of Jambughoda. In the matter the company name has been added only on the suspicion of the Drum Found by the name of the Company. The

		matter is in proceedings before the JMFC, Jambughoda.
b)	Expected financial implications, if any, due to compensation, penalty, etc.	Nil
c)	Quantum of claims, if any	Not Ascertainable

I	Filed by Heranba Industries Ltd		
Sr.No	No. of Matters	Amount (in crores)	Type of Matters
1	857	43.34	Matters Filed under section 138 NI Act and Recovery/Summary Suits

OUTSTANDING TAX PROCEEDING & DRI CUSTOM INVOLVING HERANBA INDUSTRIES LIMITED

Sl. No.	Nature of Proceeding	Amount(in Rs.)		Status
1	SCN No. (F. No. V(CH.28 & 38)15-29/DEM/14-15 Dated 03.06.2014)	1,49,61,521	Short levy of C. Ex. Duty (Equivalent to the 4 % additional duty of Customs) SAD on clearances to DTA (within Heranba)	Appeal filed to CESTAT, Ahmedabad on 28.01.2015. Pending with CESTAT
2	SCN No. (F. No. V(CH.28 & 38)3-10/DEM/13-14 Dated 12.07.2013)	2,29,77,046		
3	SCN No. (F. No. V(CH.28 & 38)3-15/DEM/12-13 Dated 08.10.2012)	1,69,79,924		
4	SCN No. (F. No. V(CH.28 & 38)3-36/DEM/11-12 Dated 11.11.2011)	1,11,05,188		
5	SCN No. (F. No. V(CH.28 & 38)3-04/DEM/10-11 Dated 06.01.2011)	2,61,18,921		
6	Fine & Penalty on the above 1 to 5 SCN	4,21,19,000		
7	SCN No. XII/10/2018 dated 14.02.2018	6,34,798	Short levy of C. Ex. Duty (Equivalent	Reply to SCN has been

			to the 4 % additional duty of Customs) SAD on clearances to DTA	submitted. OIO is awaited. Pending with Division Office
8	Notice of demand A.Y. 2018-19	4,17,44,790	Assessment	Appeal is filed with Commission (Appeal) Income Tax.
9	Notice of demand A.Y. 2019-20	22,04,804	Assessment	
10	Notice of demand A.Y. 2020-21	57,25,496	Assessment	
11	Notice of demand A.Y. 2021-22	72,58,397	Assessment	
12	DRI CUSTOMS (3.25+.56+1+3.77+1+.12)	9,59,00,000	Mis-declaration of classification of goods	Writ Petition is filed with Bombay High Court