

GSTIN : 33AAACE1687N1ZF
 CST No. 33316 dt. 1.6.84
 CIN No. L15511TN1983PLC010313

"EMPEE TOWER"
 59 HARRIS ROAD
 PUDUPET, CHENNAI - 600 002.



Tel : 28531111, 28522510, 28523514
 28413151, 28413161, 28413191

Fax : 91 - 44 - 28555163

E-mail : Info@empeegroup.co.in

12.9.2019

<p>National Stock Exchange of India Ltd "Exchange Plaza", Bandra-Kurla Complex, Bandra (E) Mumbai – 400 051.</p>	<p>Bombay Stock Exchange Limited Floor 25, P.J.Tower, Dalal Street, Mumbai 400 001.</p>
--	---

Dear Sir,

We hereby inform you that on an appeal preferred by the Managing Director, Mr. Shaji Purushothaman of Empee Distilleries Limited (Corporate Debtor) against the order of NCLT Division Bench, Chennai, dated 29.07.2019, the Hon'ble NCLAT ordered

quote

"In the circumstances, while we are not inclined to issue any specific directions, give liberty to the Appellant (Mr. Shaji Purushothaman) to move an application u/s 12(A) for settling the claim of all the Creditors, including the Guarantors.

If an application u/s 12(A) is filed by him, the CoC may decide as to whether the proposal given by him for settlement in terms of section 12(a) is better than the Resolution Plan as approved by it and may pass appropriate orders."

unquote

In this connection the said Managing Director, Mr. Shaji Purushothaman along with Corporate Debtor are preparing a Resolution Plan to be submitted to the CoC soon. This may be intimated to all the Members of the Stock Exchange. We request you also to place it on your Website.

Thanking you,

Yours faithfully
 for EMPEE DISTILLERIES LTD

M.P.PURUSHOTHAMAN
 CHAIRMAN

NATIONAL COMPANY LAW APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, NEW DELHI

Company Appeal (AT) (Insolvency)No. 921 of 2019

IN THE MATTER OF:

Shaji Purushothaman

.....Appellant

Vs.

Union Bank of India & Ors.

.....Respondents

Present :

For Appellant:

Mrs. Vibha Datta Makhija, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Karuppaiah, Mr. Reghunatha, Ms. Kanika, Mr. Praveen Gaur, Advocates

For Respondents:

Mr. R.P. Agrawal, Mr. Prateek, Ms. Bhumika, Advocates

O R D E R

06.09.2019 - This appeal has been preferred by 'Shaji Purushothaman', Director of 'Empee Distilleries Ltd.' ('Corporate Debtor') against the order of rejection of the Miscellaneous application filed by him before the Adjudicating Authority ('National Company Law Tribunal'), Division Bench, Chennai dated 29th July, 2019.

2. We find that the application u/s 7 of the Insolvency & Bankruptcy Code, 2016 ('I&B' Code, for short) by 'Union Bank of India' ('Financial Creditor') was admitted against the 'Corporate Debtor' on 1st November, 2018. The said order was challenged before this Appellate Tribunal which was dismissed and thereafter the Appellant moved before the Hon'ble Supreme Court. Before the Hon'ble Supreme Court, the Appellant took plea that he is ready and willing to clear the outstanding dues with the 'Union Bank of India' within 15 days. For the said reason, the Hon'ble Supreme Court by the order dated 14th June, 2019 granted liberty to move an application within two weeks before the Adjudicating Authority ('National Company Law Tribunal').

3. It is informed that the Appellant in the meantime has settled the matter with 'Union Bank of India' and some other 'Financial Creditors'. The Appellant moved a miscellaneous application with prayer for setting aside the order dated 1st November, 2018.

4. The Adjudicating Authority taking into consideration the aforesaid fact in the impugned order dated 29th July, 2019 observed that order of admission cannot be set aside, except where an application u/s 12A is filed, by settling the matter with all the Creditors with approval of 90% of the voting share of the

'Committee of Creditors' subject to filing of an application by 'Union Bank of India' for withdrawal of application u/s 7 of the 'I&B' Code.

5. Learned counsel for the Appellant submits that, M/s. Edelweiss Asset Reconstruction Company Ltd.' in whose favour guarantee was given by the 'Corporate Debtor' is not entitled to any claim. However, we are not inclined to decide such issue at this stage having not raised before the Adjudicating Authority. We find no illegality in the order.

6. At this stage, learned counsel for the Appellant submits that the Appellant is ready to settle the claim with all the Creditors including 'M/s. Edelweiss Asset Reconstruction Company Ltd.'

7. However, Mr. R.P. Agarwal appearing on behalf of 'Union Bank of India' submits that the 'Resolution Plan' has already been approved by the 'Committee of Creditors' after taking into consideration the claim of the 'M/s. Edelweiss Asset Reconstruction Company Ltd.'

8. In the circumstances, while we are not inclined to issue any specific direction, give liberty to the Appellant to move an application u/s 12A for settling the claims of all the Creditors including the guarantors.

9. If an application u/s 12A is filed by the Appellant, the 'Committee of Creditors' may decide as to whether the proposal given by the Appellant for settlement in terms of Section 12A is better than the 'Resolution Plan' as approved by it, and may pass appropriate order. However, as such decision is required to be taken by the 'Committee of Creditors', we are not expressing any opinion on the same.

The appeal stands disposed of. No costs.

[Justice S. J. Mukhopadhaya]
Chairperson

[Justice A. I. S. Cheema]
Member (Judicial)

[Kanthi Narahari]
Member (Technical)

ss/sk

